

# **Measure-operator convolutions and applications to mixed-state Gabor multipliers**

QHA24 Hannover

## Simon Halvdansson

joint work with Hans Feichtinger and Franz Luef, published in *Sampling Theory, Signal Processing, and Data Analysis*



## **The short version**

We have seen plenty about function-operator convolutions throughout the workshop

$$
f \star S = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} f(z) \pi(z) S \pi(z)^* dz.
$$

Clearly to generalize this to measure-operators convolutions, we can go with

$$
\mu \star S = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \pi(z) S \pi(z)^* d\mu(z),
$$

all in a day's work!

### **Thank you! Questions?** Nah!

# **What's wrong?**

$$
\mu\star S=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}}\pi(z)S\pi(z)^*\,d\mu(z)
$$

## **It's fine to define measure-operator convolutions this way:**

- ▶ Define as Bochner integral just as for function-operator convolutions
- ▶ Define via Weyl symbol allows large class of tempered distributions

## **There is further happiness to gain:**

- ▶ A "first principles" approach is nice rederive QHA
- $\blacktriangleright$  Get free properties from associated framework
- $\triangleright$  End goal is establishing new results outside of QHA (spoiler)

**NTNI** 



# **Enabler/starting point**

## **Abstract nonsense:**

## H. G. Feichtinger

A Novel Mathematical Approach to the Theory of Translation Invariant Linear Systems

*Recent Applications of Harmonic Analysis to Function Spaces, Differential Equations, and Data Science: Novel Methods in Harmonic Analysis, Volume 2*, Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2017, pp. 483–516.

## H. G. Feichtinger

Homogeneous Banach spaces as Banach convolution modules over  $M(G)$ *Mathematics*, 10(3), 364, 2022, MDPI AG.

# **Classical analogy I**

How could your grandparents have defined convolution? Obviously **homogenous Banach spaces**, via translations!

$$
\rho : \mathbb{R}^d \ni x \mapsto T_x \in B(L^1)
$$

The representation  $\rho$  is

- $\triangleright$  Linear  $(\rho(x)(\alpha f + \beta g) = \alpha \rho(x) f + \beta \rho(x) g)$
- **•** Preserves identity  $(\rho(0)f = f)$
- ▶ Group homomorphism  $(\rho(x + y) = \rho(x)\rho(y))$
- ▶ Isometric  $(\|\rho(x)f\|_{L^1} = \|f\|_{L^1})$
- ▶ Continuous ( $||\rho(x)f f||_{L^1} \to 0$  as  $x \to 0$ )

and we say that  $(L^1, \rho)$  is a homogenous Banach space.

# **Classical analogy II**

We can define the action  $*_\rho:\mathbb{R}^d\times L^1\to L^1$  as

 $*_o : (x, f) \mapsto T_x f$ 

or, on point measures,  $*_\rho : (\delta_x, f) \mapsto T_x f$ . By **some functional analysis**, this action can be extended to  $M(\mathbb{R}^d)\times L^1$  to define convolutions between bounded measures and integrable functions.

## **Upside? Limited**

## **Operator version I**



## **Let's translate this to operators!**

Translations  $\rightarrow$  operator translations, functions  $\rightarrow$  operators:

$$
\rho : \mathbb{R}^{2d} \ni z \mapsto \alpha_z \in B(\mathcal{S}^1),
$$
  

$$
\alpha_z(S) = \pi(z)S\pi(z)^*.
$$

**Figure:** Never forget your roots

- $\triangleright$  Linear  $(\rho(z)(\alpha S_1 + \beta S_2)) = \alpha \rho(z)S_1 + \beta \rho(z)S_2$
- **•** Preserves identity  $(\rho(0)S = S)$
- **Group homomorphism**  $(\rho(z_1z_2) = \rho(z_1)\rho(z_2))$
- ▶ Isometric  $(\|\rho(z)S\|_{S^1} = \|S\|_{S^1})$
- ▶ Continuous ( $||\rho(z)S S||_{S^1} \to 0$  as  $z \to 0$ )

we say that  $(\mathcal{S}^1,\rho)$  is an **abstract homogenous Banach space**.

7 / 19

**NTNI** 

# **NTNI**

# **Operator version II**

Applying the same functional-analytical machinery allows us to (uniquely) extend the mapping

$$
*_\rho : \mathbb{R}^{2d} \times \mathcal{S}^1 \to \mathcal{S}^1, \qquad z *_\rho S = \pi(z)S\pi(z)^*
$$

to one on  $M(\mathbb{R}^{2d})\times \mathcal{S}^1$  (which is bounded, bilinear,  $w^*$ -continuous and has dense span) using BUPU's:

$$
\mu *_{\rho} S = \lim_{|\Psi| \to 0} \sum_{i \in I_{\Psi}} \mu(\psi_i) \delta_{z_i} *_{\rho} S.
$$

We call this **measure-operator convolutions** and write  $\star$  for  $\star_o$ .

The BUPU machinery allows us to ultimately derive the formula:

$$
\langle (\mu \star S) \psi, \phi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \langle \pi(z) S \pi(z)^* \psi, \phi \rangle d\mu(z).
$$



## **What now?**

▶

**TODO:**

We should prove that all the standard function-operator properties hold true

← medium fun

- $\blacktriangleright \|\mu \star S\|_{S^p} \leq \|\mu\|_M \|S\|_{S^p}$
- $\blacktriangleright$   $\mu \star S > 0$  if  $\mu > 0$  and  $S > 0$
- $\blacktriangleright$  tr( $\mu * S$ ) =  $\mu(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  tr(S) when  $S \in S^1$

$$
\blacktriangleright (\mu \star S)\check{} = \check\mu \star \check S
$$

. . .

 $\blacktriangleright$   $\mathcal{F}_W(\mu \star S) = \mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu) \cdot \mathcal{F}_W(S)$ 

## Essentially all we can dream of is true - this makes subsequent work easier



## **Not-so-basic property**

The main payoff of using this framework is essentially the following theorem:

#### **Theorem**

*Let*  $(\mu_{\alpha})_{\alpha}$  *be a bounded and tight net which converges weak-\* to*  $\mu_0$ and  $S \in \mathcal{S}^1$ , then

$$
\lim_{\alpha \to \infty} \|\mu_{\alpha} \star S - \mu_0 \star S\|_{S^1} = 0.
$$

(Recall this means that  $\mu_\alpha(f) \to \mu_0(f)$  for all  $f \in M(\mathbb{R}^{2d})^* = C_b(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ )

10 / 19



# **Part II: Contributing to society**



# **The lattice setting**

We are interested in cases where

$$
\mu = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} c(\lambda) \delta_{\lambda} \implies \mu \star S = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} c(\lambda) \alpha_{\lambda}(S)
$$

for some lattice  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^{2d}.$ 

This is (often) the setting of discrete time-frequency analysis as it is straightforward to implement numerically ( $\Lambda=\alpha\mathbb{Z}^d\times\beta\mathbb{Z}^d$ ).

These operators were previously investigated by Skrettingland with the notation  $c \star \Lambda S$ :

## Eirik Skrettingland

Quantum Harmonic Analysis on Lattices and Gabor Multipliers *Journal of Fourier Analysis and Applications*, 26(3), 2020, Springer.



## **Mixed-state Gabor frames**

## Recall that (g,Λ) generates a **Gabor frame** when

$$
A||f||^2 \le \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |V_g f(\lambda)|^2 \le B||f||^2 \qquad \forall f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d).
$$

We say that (S,Λ) generates a *mixed-state* **Gabor frame** when

$$
A||f||^2 \le \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |Q_S(f)(\lambda)|^2 \le B||f||^2 \qquad \forall f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d).
$$

If  $A = B$ , we have a nice reconstruction of the identity:

$$
\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \pi(\lambda) S \pi(\lambda)^* f = Af \qquad \forall f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d).
$$

13 / 19



# **(Mixed-state) Gabor multipliers**

Tight Gabor frame  $\implies$  reconstruction formula

$$
f = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} V_g f(\lambda) \pi(\lambda) g
$$

which gives rise to **Gabor multipliers**

$$
G_{m,\Lambda}^g f = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} m(\lambda) V_g f(\lambda) \pi(\lambda)
$$

with mask  $m$ .

Tight mixed-state Gabor frame  $\implies$  reconstruction formula

$$
f = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \pi(\lambda) S \pi(\lambda)^* f
$$

which gives rise to *mixed-state* **Gabor multipliers**

$$
G_{m,\Lambda}^S f = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} m(\lambda) \pi(\lambda) S \pi(\lambda)^* f
$$

with mask  $m$ .

It turns out (perhaps expectedly) that these operators behave similarly to the usual Gabor multipliers.

# **0-1 Gabor multiplier eigenvalue law**

- $\triangleright$  The eigenvalues of localization operators famously follow a 0-1 law where if  $m=\chi_{\Omega}$ , the first  $\lceil |\Omega| \rceil$  eigenvalues of  $A^g_{\Omega}$  $\frac{g}{\Omega}$  are close to  $1$  and the remaining eigenvalues are close to 0.
- ▶ This is easiest to prove using QHA.
- $\triangleright$  With measure-operator convolutions, we can follow the same path for mixed-state Gabor multipliers.

#### **Theorem**

*Let* (S,Λ) *generate a tight mixed-state Gabor frame, let* Ω ⊂ R <sup>2</sup><sup>d</sup> *be compact* and fix  $\delta \in (0,1)$ . If  $\{\lambda_k^{R\Omega}\}_k$  are the eigenvalues of  $G^S_{R\Omega,\Lambda}$ , then

$$
\frac{\#\{k:\lambda_k^{\rm R\Omega}>1-\delta\}}{|R\Omega\cap\Lambda|}\to 1 \quad \text{as } R\to\infty.
$$

**Painless QHA on lattices™**

**NTNI** 



16

# **Approximating localization operators**

Ideally, we want our discrete constructions to approximate our continuous constructions in some limit.

## Define:

$$
\mu_{\alpha,\beta}^m = \alpha^d \beta^d \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{\alpha,\beta}} m(\lambda) \delta_\lambda
$$

where 
$$
\Lambda_{\alpha,\beta} = \alpha \mathbb{Z}^d \times \beta \mathbb{Z}^d
$$
.

#### **Theorem**

*Let*  $(\mu_{\alpha})_{\alpha}$  *be a bounded and tight net* which converges weak-\* to  $\mu_0$  and  $S \in \mathcal{S}^1$ , *then*

$$
\lim_{\alpha \to \infty} ||\mu_{\alpha} \star S - \mu_0 \star S||_{S^1} = 0.
$$

#### **Theorem**

 $\alpha$ 

Let  $m \in W(L^{\infty}, \ell^1)(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  be  $Riemann-integrable$  and  $S \in \mathcal{S}^1$ . Then we *have the convergence*

$$
\lim_{\beta \to 0} ||\mu_{\alpha,\beta}^m \star S - m \star S||_{S^1} = 0.
$$

$$
\text{In particular, } \|G_{m,\alpha,\beta}^g - A_m^g\|_{\mathcal{S}^1} \to 0 \text{ as } \alpha, \beta \to 0.
$$



17 / 19

# **Why does this work?**

Verifying the convergence

$$
\mu_{\alpha,\beta}^m(f) \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} m(z) f(z) \, dz
$$

boils down to realizing the left-hand side

 $\mu_{\alpha,\beta}^m(f)=\sum m(\lambda)f(\lambda)\alpha^d\beta^d$ λ∈Λ

## as a Riemann sum.

We also need to verify that  $(\mu_{\alpha,\beta}^m)_{\alpha,\beta}$  is tight and uniformly bounded (harder than it looks).

#### **Theorem**

*Let*  $(\mu_{\alpha})_{\alpha}$  *be a bounded and tight net* which converges weak-\* to  $\mu_0$  and  $S \in \mathcal{S}^1$ , *then*

$$
\lim_{\alpha \to \infty} \|\mu_{\alpha} \star S - \mu_0 \star S\|_{\mathcal{S}^1} = 0.
$$

#### **Theorem**

Let  $m \in W(L^{\infty}, \ell^1)(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  be  $Riemann-integrable$  and  $S \in \mathcal{S}^1.$  Then we *have the convergence*

$$
\lim_{\alpha,\beta \to 0} ||\mu_{\alpha,\beta}^m \star S - m \star S||_{\mathcal{S}^1} = 0.
$$



# **Parameter continuity**



*"Gabor multipliers are* S 1 *-continuous with respect to their parameters".* Earlier results have been limited to  $\mathcal{S}^2$  convergence or  $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d).$ 



# **(Actual) Thank you!**